

## **IAGSA Member Notice of Difference Form**

Copyright

Please complete the below form for each Deviation from an IAGSA Recommended Practice

Notification of Difference from IAGSA Recommended Practice						
Name of Company:	Xcalibur Aviation (Australia (Pty Ltd)					
Date submitted:	15 August, 2022					
Which Recommended Practice or Safety Manual section is being deviated from?	3.9 - All crew members on survey flights, including equipment operators, should receive annual training in the use of fire extinguishers in fighting in flight fires					
What is the duration of the deviation?	Permanent: 🗵	Temporary:	From: (mm/yy)To:	(mm/yy)		
What is the reason for the deviation?	Xalibur requirement for training is for 3 year recurrency; Local training courses are comprehensive and do not recommend annual recurrency – where necessary, more frequent training applied as determined using Risk Assessment					
Has a risk assessment been completed for the deviation?	Yes					
Is an equivalent practice/standard in place?	Yes					
(If yes provide details)	Training every 3 years, more frequent training where RA indicates					
If No, what specific actions have been taken to provide for an equivalent level of safety?						
Name of Accountable Executive	Teo Hage					
Signature of Accountable Executive	Blays					



## **IAGSA Member Notice of Difference Form**

Copyright

Please complete the below form for each Deviation from an IAGSA Recommended Practice

Notification of Difference from IAGSA Recommended Practice						
Name of Company:	Xcalibur Aviation (Australia (Pty Ltd)					
Date submitted:	15 August, 2022					
Which Recommended Practice or Safety Manual section is being deviated from?	2.4.3.1 - Offshore survey flights be conducted under VMC with minimums of 5 miles visibility and 1000-foot ceiling in the survey area,					
What is the duration of the deviation?	Permanent: 🛛	Temporary:	From: (mm/yy)To:	(mm/yy)		
What is the reason for the deviation?	4SM visibility specified as minimum, or greater where required by regulation or Risk Assessment					
Has a risk assessment been completed for the deviation?	Yes					
Is an equivalent practice/standard in place?	Yes					
(If yes provide details)	4SM is normally not considered to impact safety / where risk assessment indicates, minimum visibility is increased as required to ensure safe obstacle clearance					
If No, what specific actions have been taken to provide for an equivalent level of safety?						
Name of Accountable Executive	Teo Hage					
Signature of Accountable Executive	Blays	-				